arXiv:1512.09271v2 [math.QA] 9 Jun 2016

LIFTINGS OF JORDAN AND SUPER JORDAN PLANES

NICOLÁS ANDRUSKIEWITSCH, IVÁN ANGIONO, ISTVÁN HECKENBERGER

Abstract. We classify pointed Hopf algebras with finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension whose infinitesimal braiding has dimension 2 but is not of diagonal type, or equivalently is a block. These Hopf algebras are new and turn out to be liftings of either a Jordan or a super Jordan plane over a nilpotent-by-finite group.

1. Introduction

Let $\Bbbk = \overline{\Bbbk}$ be a field, $\operatorname{char}\Bbbk = 0$. Let H be a pointed Hopf algebra, $G = G(H)$, $\operatorname{gr} H$ the graded Hopf algebra associated to its coradical filtration, $R = \oplus_{n\geq 0} R^n$ the graded Hopf algebra in the category ${}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules such that $\operatorname{gr} H \simeq R\#\Bbbk G$ and $V = R^1$ the infinitesimal braiding of H. The classification of Hopf algebras with finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension (GKdim for short) attracted considerable interest recently, see [9]. Connected Hopf algebras with finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension are quantum deformations of algebraic unipotent groups [11]. Also, there are several results in low GKdim, see [10, 13, 18] and references therein. Further, the classification is known assuming that H is a domain, G is abelian and V is of diagonal type [1, 6]. Here we contribute to this question.

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and $\mathbb{I}_\ell = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$. Let $\epsilon \in \Bbbk^\times$. Let $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)$ be the braided vector space with basis $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_\ell}$ and braiding $c \in \operatorname{Aut}(V \otimes V)$ such that

$$c(x_i \otimes x_1) = \epsilon x_1 \otimes x_i, \quad c(x_i \otimes x_j) = (\epsilon x_j + x_{j-1}) \otimes x_i, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\ell. \tag{1.1}$$

We say that a braided vector space is a *block* if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)$ for some $\epsilon \in \Bbbk^\times$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$.

Theorem 1.1. [3, Theorem 1.2] *The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell))$ is finite if and only if $\ell = 2$ and $\epsilon^2 = 1$.* □

Here is our main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let H be a pointed Hopf algebra, $G = G(H)$ and V its infinitesimal braiding. Then the following are equivalent:*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T05.

The work of N. A. and I. A. was partially supported by CONICET, FONCyT-ANPCyT, Secyt (UNC), the MathAmSud project GR2HOPF. The work of N. A., respectively I. A., was partially done during a visit to the University of Marburg, respectively the MPI (Bonn), supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

(1) $\operatorname{GKdim} H < \infty$ *and* V *is a block.*
(2) $\operatorname{GKdim} H < \infty$, $\dim V = 2$ *and* V *is not of diagonal type.*
(3) G *is nilpotent-by-finite and there exists a Jordanian or super Jordanian YD-triple* $\mathcal{D} = (g, \chi, \eta)$ *and* $\lambda \in \Bbbk$, $\lambda = 0$ *when* $\chi^2 \neq \varepsilon$, *such that* $V = \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ *and* $H \simeq \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$, *cf. §4.1 and 4.2.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): by Theorem 1.1, $V \simeq \mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$ with $\epsilon^2 = 1$, thus $\dim V = 2$ and V is not of diagonal type. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): by Gromov's theorem, G is nilpotent-by-finite. By Lemma 2.3, V is a block, hence Propositions 4.2 and 4.4 apply; these Propositions also provide (1) $\Leftarrow$ (3). □

The isomorphism classes of the Hopf algebras $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ are also determined in Propositions 4.2 and 4.4.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definitions of the Nichols algebras called the Jordan and super Jordan planes. We then discuss indecomposable Yetter-Drinfeld modules of dimension 2 over groups. Section 3 is dedicated to a discussion on the problem of generation in degree one, that is equivalent to the study of post-Nichols algebras with finite GKdim. We show how to reduce (in general) this problem to the study of pre-Nichols algebras with finite GKdim (see the relevant definitions below) and deduce from results in [3, Section 4] that the only post-Nichols algebra of the Jordan, or super Jordan, plane with finite GKdim is the Nichols algebra itself. Finally we describe all possible liftings of the Jordan, respectively super Jordan, plane in Proposition 4.2, respectively 4.4.

1.1. **Notation.** We refer to [5] for unexplained terminology and notation. If G is a group, then $\widehat{G}$ denotes its group of characters.

2. Yetter-Drinfeld modules of dimension 2

2.1. **The Jordan and super Jordan planes.** We assume from now on that $\epsilon^2 = 1$. Keep the notation above and set $x_{21} = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2\, x_1 = x_2x_1 - \epsilon x_1x_2$.

The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1, 2))$ is a well-known quadratic algebra, the so-called Jordan plane, related to the quantum Jordan $SL(2)$; it also appears in the classification of AS-regular graded algebras of global dimension 2 [7].

In turn, we call $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1, 2))$ the *super Jordan plane*.

Proposition 2.1. [3, Propositions 3.4 & 3.5] *The algebras* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2))$ *have GK-dim 2 and are presented by generators* x_1 *and* x_2 *with defining relations*

$$x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, \qquad \text{if } \epsilon = 1; \tag{2.1}$$

$$x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21}, \qquad x_1^2, \qquad \text{if } \epsilon = -1. \tag{2.2}$$

Further, $\{x_1^a x_2^b : a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, *respectively* $\{x_1^a x_{21}^b x_2^c : a \in \{0, 1\}, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, *is a basis of* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1, 2))$, *respectively* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1, 2))$. □

2.2. **Indecomposable modules over abelian groups.** Let Γ be an abelian group. Let $g \in \Gamma$, $\chi \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $\eta : \Gamma \to \Bbbk$ a (χ, χ)-derivation, i. e.

$$\eta(ht) = \chi(h)\eta(t) + \eta(h)\chi(t), \qquad h, t \in \Gamma.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta) \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ be a vector space of dimension 2, homogeneous of degree g and with action of Γ given in a basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_2}$ by

$$h \cdot x_1 = \chi(h)x_1, \qquad h \cdot x_2 = \chi(h)x_2 + \eta(h)x_1, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $h \in \Gamma$. Then $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ is indecomposable in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD} \iff \eta \neq 0$. As a braided vector space, $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ is either of diagonal type, when $\eta(g) = 0$, or else isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$, $\epsilon = \chi(g)$ (indecomposability as Yetter-Drinfeld module is not the same as indecomposability as braided vector space).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V = 2$. Then either V is of diagonal type or else $V \simeq \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ for unique g, χ and η with $\eta(g) = 1$.*

Proof. Assume that V is not of diagonal type; then V is indecomposable. Since $\Bbbk\Gamma$ is cosemisimple, there exists $g \in \Gamma$ such that V is homogeneous of degree g. Moreover, $\Bbbk = \overline{\Bbbk}$ implies that V is not simple. Hence there exist $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ such that $\operatorname{soc} V \simeq \Bbbk_g^{\chi_1}$ and $V/\operatorname{soc} V \simeq \Bbbk_g^{\chi_2}$. Pick $x_1 \in \operatorname{soc} V - 0$ and $x_2 \in V_{g_2} - \operatorname{soc} V$; then $h \cdot x_2 = \chi_2(h)x_2 + \eta(h)x_1$ for all $h \in \Gamma$, where η is a (χ_1, χ_2)-derivation. Since V is not of diagonal type, $\chi_1(g) = \chi_2(g)$ and $\eta(g) \neq 0$. Now

$$\chi_1(h)\eta(g) + \eta(h)\chi_2(g) = \eta(hg) = \chi_1(g)\eta(h) + \eta(g)\chi_2(h) \quad \Rightarrow \chi_1(h) = \chi_2(h)$$

for all $h \in \Gamma$. Finally, up to changing x_1, we may assume that $\eta(g) = 1$. □

2.3. **Indecomposable modules over Hopf algebras.** Let K be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. A *YD-pair* [2] for K is a pair $(g, \chi) \in G(K) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(K, \Bbbk)$ such that

$$\chi(h)\, g = \chi(h_{(2)})h_{(1)}\, g\, \mathcal{S}(h_{(3)}), \qquad h \in K. \tag{2.4}$$

If (g, χ) is a YD-pair, then the one-dimensional vector space $\Bbbk_g^{\chi}$, with action and coaction given by χ and g respectively, is in ${}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$. Conversely, any $V \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ with $\dim V = 1$ is like this, for unique g and χ. If (g, χ) is a YD-pair, then $g \in Z(G(K))$.

If $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(K, \Bbbk)$, then the space of (χ_1, χ_2)-derivations is

$$\operatorname{Der}_{\chi_1, \chi_2}(K, \Bbbk) = \{\eta \in K^* : \eta(ht) = \chi_1(h)\eta(t) + \chi_2(t)\eta(h)\, \forall h, t \in K\}.$$

A *YD-triple* for K is a collection (g, χ, η) where (g, χ), is a YD-pair for K, cf. (2.4), $\eta \in \operatorname{Der}_{\chi,\chi}(K, \Bbbk)$, $\eta(g) = 1$ and

$$\eta(h)g_1 = \eta(h_{(2)})h_{(1)}g_2\mathcal{S}(h_{(3)}), \qquad h \in K. \tag{2.5}$$

If $K = \Bbbk G$ is a group algebra, then we can think of the collection (g, χ, η) as in G, $\widehat{G}$, $\operatorname{Der}_{\chi,\chi}(G, \Bbbk)$.

Let (g, χ, η) be a YD-triple for K. Let $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ be a vector space with a basis $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_2}$, where action and coaction are given by

$$h \cdot x_1 = \chi(h)x_1, \qquad h \cdot x_2 = \chi(h)x_2 + \eta(h)x_1, \qquad \delta(x_i) = g \otimes x_i,$$

$h \in K$, $i \in \mathbb{I}_2$. Then $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta) \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$, the compatibility being granted by (2.4), (2.5). Since $\eta(g) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ is indecomposable in ${}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a group. Let $V \in {}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V = 2$. Then either V is of diagonal type as a braided vector space or else $V \simeq \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ for a unique YD-triple (g, χ, η).*

Proof. Assume first that $V = V_{g_1} + V_{g_2}$ as $\Bbbk G$-comodule, with $g_1 \neq g_2 \in G$. Now $g_2 \cdot V_{g_2} = V_{g_2}$, hence $g_2 \cdot V_{g_1} = V_{g_1}$ and similarly $g_1 \cdot V_{g_2} = V_{g_2}$. Thus V is of diagonal type, a contradiction. Thus we may assume that $V = V_g$ for some $g \in G$, and Lemma 2.2 applies with $\Gamma = \langle g \rangle$, so that $V \simeq \mathcal{V}_g(\widetilde{\chi}, \widetilde{\eta})$ for some $\widetilde{\chi} \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $\widetilde{\eta}$ a $(\widetilde{\chi}, \widetilde{\chi})$-derivation. Then there is a basis $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_2}$ where g acts by $A = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 1 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$. But $g \in Z(G)$, hence any $h \in G$ acts by a matrix in the centralizer of $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : a \in \Bbbk^\times, b \in \Bbbk \right\}$. In other words, $V \simeq \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ for a unique YD-triple (g, χ, η). □

Let $\mathcal{D} = (g, \chi, \eta)$ be a YD-triple and $\epsilon := \chi(g)$. If $\epsilon = 1$, respectively -1, then we say that $\mathcal{D}$ is a *Jordanian*, respectively *super Jordanian*, YD-triple.

Remark 2.4. Let $f \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}} H$, $g^f = f(g)$, $\chi^f = \chi \circ f^{-1}$, $\eta^f = \eta \circ f^{-1}$. Then $\mathcal{D}^f = (g^f, \chi^f, \eta^f)$ is a YD-triple and $\chi^f(g^f) = \chi(g)$, $\eta^f(g^f) = \eta(g)$. Thus, if $\mathcal{D}$ is Jordanian, respectively super Jordanian, then so is $\mathcal{D}^f$. Let $V^f = \mathcal{V}_{g^f}(\chi^f, \eta^f)$, with basis x_1', x_2'. Then f extends to a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\widetilde{f} : T(V)\#H \to T(V^f)\#H$ such that $f(x_i) = x_i'$. Let

$$\operatorname{Aut} \mathcal{D} := \{f \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}} H : \mathcal{D}^f = D\}.$$

Then we have a morphism of groups $\operatorname{Aut} \mathcal{D} \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\text{Hopf}}(T(V)\#H)$.

3. Generation in degree one

3.1. **A block plus a point.** We shall need a result from [3] on some braided vector spaces of dimension 3. Let $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{22} \in \Bbbk^\times$ and $a \in \Bbbk$. Let V be the braided vector space with basis x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_3$, and braiding

$$(c(x_i \otimes x_j))_{i,j\in\mathbb{I}_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon x_1 \otimes x_1 & (\epsilon x_2 + x_1) \otimes x_1 & q_{12}x_3 \otimes x_1 \\ \epsilon x_1 \otimes x_2 & (\epsilon x_2 + x_1) \otimes x_2 & q_{12}x_3 \otimes x_2 \\ q_{21}x_1 \otimes x_3 & q_{21}(x_2 + ax_1) \otimes x_3 & q_{22}x_3 \otimes x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

The ghost is $\mathscr{G} = \begin{cases} -2a, & \epsilon = 1, \\ a, & \epsilon = -1. \end{cases}$ If $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}$, then the ghost is *discrete*.

Theorem 3.1. [3, Theorem 4.1] *If $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then V is as in Table 1.* □

TABLE 1. Nichols algebras of a block and a point with finite GKdim

$q_{12}q_{21}$	ϵ	q_{22}	$\mathscr{G}$	GKdim
1	± 1	1 or $\notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$	0	3
		$\in \mathbb{G}_\infty - \{1\}$		2
	1	1	discrete	$\mathscr{G}+3$
		-1	discrete	2
		$\in \mathbb{G}_3'$	1	2
	-1	1	discrete	$\mathscr{G}+3$
		-1	discrete	$\mathscr{G}+2$
-1	-1	-1	1	2

3.2. **Pre-Nichols versus post-Nichols.** Let $V \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ finite-dimensional. A *post-Nichols algebra* of V is a coradically graded connected Hopf algebra $\mathcal{E} = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{E}^n$ in ${}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ such that $\mathcal{E}^1 \simeq V$ [4]. A fundamental step in the classification of pointed Hopf algebras with finite GKdim is the following.

Question 3.2. Assume that $K = \Bbbk G$, with G nilpotent-by-finite. If $V \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ has $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then determine all post-Nichols algebras $\mathcal{E}$ of V such that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} < \infty$.

A *pre-Nichols algebra* of V is a graded connected Hopf algebra $\mathcal{B} = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{B}^n$ in ${}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ such that $\mathcal{B}^1 \simeq V$ generates $\mathcal{B}$ as algebra [15]. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a post-Nichols algebra of V, then there is an inclusion $\mathcal{B}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of graded Hopf algebras in ${}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ and the graded dual $\mathcal{E}^d$ is a pre-Nichols algebra of V^*, and vice versa.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a pre-Nichols algebra of V, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}^d$ (recall that $\dim V < \infty$). Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is finitely generated, then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Let W be a finite-dimensional vector subspace of $\mathcal{E}$; without loss of generality, we may assume that W is graded. Let $\mathcal{E}_n = \sum_{0\leq j\leq n} W^j$. Now there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W \subseteq \oplus_{0\leq j\leq m}\mathcal{E}^j$; hence

$$\log_n \dim \mathcal{E}_n \leq \log_n \dim \oplus_{0\leq j\leq mn}\mathcal{E}^j = \log_n \dim \oplus_{0\leq j\leq mn}\mathcal{B}^j$$
$$\overset{\clubsuit}{=} \log_n \dim(\oplus_{0\leq j\leq m}\mathcal{B}^j)^n \overset{\heartsuit}{\Rightarrow} \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}.$$

Here, $\oplus_{0\leq j\leq mn}\mathcal{B}^j = (\oplus_{0\leq j\leq m}\mathcal{B}^j)^n$ because V generates $\mathcal{B}$, hence $\clubsuit$; while $\heartsuit$ follows by the independence of the generators in the definition of GKdim.

Conversely, assume that W is a finite-dimensional graded vector subspace generating $\mathcal{E}$. We claim that $\mathcal{E}_n \supseteq \oplus_{0\leq j\leq n}\mathcal{E}^j$. Indeed, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}_n \supseteq \mathcal{E}^n$. For, take $x \in \mathcal{E}^n$; then $x = \sum w_{j_1}\dots w_{j_k}$ with $w_{j_h} \in W$, and

$$n = \deg w_{j_1} + \dots + \deg w_{j_k} \geq k \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{E}_n.$$

Hence $\log_n \dim \mathcal{E}_n \geq \log_n \dim \oplus_{0\leq j\leq n}\mathcal{E}^j = \log_n \dim \oplus_{0\leq j\leq n}\mathcal{B}^j$, therefore $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} \geq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}$. □

Remark 3.4. The inequality in Lemma 3.3 might be strict: if $\mathcal{B} = \mathbf{k}[T]$ a polynomial ring with $\operatorname{char}\mathbf{k} > 0$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is the divided power algebra that has $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} = 0 < 1 = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathbf{k}[T]$.

Question 3.5. If $V \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ has $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then determine all pre-Nichols algebras $\mathcal{B}$ of V such that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B} < \infty$.

To solve Question 3.5 for V is a first approximation to solve Question 3.2 for V^*, since it is open whether $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} < \infty$ implies $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E}^d < \infty$ for a post-Nichols algebra $\mathcal{E}$. However, the next particular case is useful. Consider the poset of pre-Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{Pre}(V) = \{T(V)/I : I \in \mathfrak{S}\}$ with ordering given by the surjections. We say that V is *pre-bounded* if every chain

$$\cdots < \mathcal{B}[3] < \mathcal{B}[2] < \mathcal{B}[1] < \mathcal{B}[0] = \mathcal{B}(V), \tag{3.2}$$

of pre-Nichols algebras over V with finite GKdim, is finite.

Lemma 3.6. *Let K be a Hopf algebra, $V \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ finite-dimensional and $\mathcal{E} \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ a post-Nichols algebra of V with $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} < \infty$. If V^* is pre-bounded, then $\mathcal{E}$ is finitely generated and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E}^d$. In particular, if the only pre-Nichols algebra of V^* with finite GKdim is $\mathcal{B}(V^*)$, then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(V)$.*

Proof. First we construct a chain $\mathcal{E}[0] = \mathcal{B}(V) \subsetneq \mathcal{E}[1] \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ of finitely generated post-Nichols algebras of V. Suppose we have build $\mathcal{E}[n]$ and that $\mathcal{E} \supsetneq \mathcal{E}[n]$ (otherwise we are done by Lemma 3.3). Pick $x \in \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}[n]$ homogeneous of minimal degree m. Let W be the Yetter-Drinfeld submodule of $\mathcal{E}^m$ generated by x and let $\mathcal{E}[n+1]$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}$ generated by $\mathcal{E}[n]+W$. Clearly $\mathcal{E}[n+1]$ is a Yetter-Drinfeld submodule of $\mathcal{E}$, hence $\mathcal{E}[n+1]\underline{\otimes}\mathcal{E}[n+1]$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}\underline{\otimes}\mathcal{E}$. By minimality of m, $\Delta(\mathcal{E}[n+1]) \subseteq \mathcal{E}[n+1]\underline{\otimes}\mathcal{E}[n+1]$. That is, $\mathcal{E}[n+1]$ is a finitely generated post-Nichols algebra of V with $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{E}[n+1] < \infty$. Thus we have a chain (3.2) of pre-Nichols algebras with $\mathcal{B}[n] = \mathcal{E}[n]^d$, and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}[n] < \infty$ for all n by Lemma 3.3. By hypothesis, there is n such that $\mathcal{E}[n] = \mathcal{E}$ and we are done. Finally, if the only pre-Nichols algebra of V^* with finite GKdim is $\mathcal{B}(V^*)$, then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(V)$, because there is only one chain (3.2) for V^*. □

3.3. **Post-Nichols algebras of the Jordan and super Jordan planes.**

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that V is associated to either a Jordanian or a super Jordanian YD-triple $\mathcal{D} = (g, \chi, \eta)$ The only post-Nichols algebra of V in ${}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ with finite GKdim is $\mathcal{B}(V)$.*

Proof. The dual V^* corresponds to the YD-triple $\mathcal{D}' = (g^{-1}, \chi^{-1}, \eta \circ \mathcal{S})$; by Lemma 3.6, it is enough to solve the analogous problem for pre-Nichols algebras. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a pre-Nichols algebra of V such that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B} < \infty$. We have canonical projections $T(V) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$. We shall prove that the defining relations of $\mathcal{B}(V)$ hold in $\mathcal{B}$.

Jordan case. Suppose that $y = x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{B}$; note that y is primitive and $y \in \mathcal{B}_{g^2}$. Let $W \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ be spanned by the linearly

independent primitive elements x_1, x_2 and y. Then $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{B}$, so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W) < \infty$. Notice that W satisfies (3.1) for $y = x_3$, $\epsilon = 1$, $q_{12} = q_{21} = q_{22} = 1$, $a = 2$. By Theorem 3.1, $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$, a contradiction. Then $y = 0$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(V)$.

Super Jordan case. Suppose first that $x_1^2 \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{B}$; note that x_1^2 is primitive and $x_1^2 \in \mathcal{B}_{g^2}$. Let $W \in {}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$ be spanned by the linearly independent primitives x_1, x_2 and x_1^2. Then $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{B}$, so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W) < \infty$. But W satisfies (3.1) for $\epsilon = -1$, $x_3 = x_1^2$, $q_{12} = q_{21} = q_{22} = 1$, $a = -2$. By Theorem 3.1, $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$, a contradiction, so $x_1^2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{B}$. Let $r = x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21}$. In $T(V)\#K$ we have

$$\Delta(r) = r \otimes 1 + g^3 \otimes r + x_1g^2 \otimes x_1^2 - 2x_1^2g \otimes x_2. \tag{3.3}$$

Assume that $r \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{B}$. By the preceding and (3.3), r is primitive, and $r \in \mathcal{B}_{g^3}$. Let W' be the space spanned the linearly independent primitives x_1, x_2, r. Since $\mathcal{B}(W')$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{B}$, $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W') < \infty$. But W' satisfies (3.1) for $\epsilon = -1$, $x_3 = y$, $q_{12} = q_{21} = q_{22} = -1$, $a = -3$, so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W') = \infty$ by Theorem 3.1, a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(V)$. □

4. Liftings

Let G be a nilpotent-by-finite group. If $V \in {}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$, then $T(V)$ is a Hopf algebra in ${}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$ and we denote $\mathcal{T}(V) = T(V)\#\Bbbk G$. We compute all liftings of the Jordan and super Jordan planes $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$ over $\Bbbk G$. We follow partly the strategy from [2], as the coradical is assumed to be finite-dimensional in *loc. cit.*; instead we use [14, Theorem 8] being in the pointed context.

Remark 4.1. If $\mathcal{D} = (g, \chi, \eta)$ is a Jordanian or super Jordanian YD-triple, then $g^2 \neq 1$, since $g^2 \cdot x_2 = x_2 + 2\epsilon x_1$.

4.1. **Liftings of Jordan planes.** Let $\mathcal{D} = (g, \chi, \eta)$ be a Jordanian YD-triple for $\Bbbk G$ and $V = \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$. Let $\lambda \in \Bbbk$ be such that

$$\lambda = 0, \qquad \text{if } \chi^2 \neq \varepsilon. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(V)$ by the relation

$$x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 = \lambda(1 - g^2). \tag{4.2}$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{U}$ is a Hopf algebra quotient of $\mathcal{T}(V)$. We now show that any lifting of a Jordan plane over $\Bbbk G$ is $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ for some $\mathcal{D}$, λ.

Proposition 4.2. *$\mathfrak{U}$ is a pointed Hopf algebra, and a cocycle deformation of $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{U} \simeq \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$; it has $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathfrak{U} = \operatorname{GKdim}\Bbbk G + 2$.*

Conversely, let H be a pointed Hopf algebra with finite GKdim *such that $G(H) \simeq G$ and the infinitesimal braiding of H is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(1, 2)$. Then $H \simeq \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ for some YD-triple $\mathcal{D}$ and $\lambda \in \Bbbk$ satisfying* (4.1).

Moreover $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}', \lambda')$ iff there exist a Hopf algebra automorphism f of $\Bbbk G$ and $c \in \Bbbk^\times$ such that $\mathcal{D}' = f(D)$ and $\lambda = c\lambda'$.

Proof. First, we claim that there exists a $(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G)$-biGalois object $\mathcal{A}$, so that $\mathfrak{U}$ is a cocycle deformation of $\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$. Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(V)$.

Let X be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}$ generated by $t = (x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2)g^{-2}$, which is a polynomial algebra in t. Set $A = \mathcal{T}$. The algebra map $f : X \to A$ determined by $f(t) = t - \lambda g^{-2}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-colinear. Note that $x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 - \lambda$ is stable by the action of $\Bbbk G$ because of (4.1). By [2, Remark 5.6 (b)],

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &:= A/\langle f(X^+)\rangle = \mathcal{T}/\langle x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 - \lambda\rangle \\ &\simeq \Big(T(V)/\langle x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 - \lambda\rangle\Big)\#\Bbbk G.\end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\mathcal{A} \neq 0$, which reduces to prove that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) := T(V)/\langle x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 - \lambda\rangle \neq 0.$$

The algebra map $T(V) \to \Bbbk$, $x_1 \mapsto c$, $x_2 \mapsto 1$, where $c^2 = 2\lambda$, applies $x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 - \lambda$ to 0, so it factors through $\mathcal{E}$, and thus $\mathcal{E}$ is non-trivial. Now [14, Theorem 8] applies and $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$-Galois object. Now there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) Hopf algebra $L = L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G)$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(L, \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G)$-biGalois object. But $L \simeq \mathfrak{U}$ by a computation as in [2, Cor. 5.12], and the claim follows.

Moreover $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T} = A$ is a section which restricted to $\Bbbk G$ is an algebra map. Arguing as in [2, Proposition 5.8 (b)] and applying [17, Theorem 4.2, Corollary 4.3] we conclude that there exists a section $\gamma : \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G \to \mathcal{A}$ which restricted to $\Bbbk G$ is an algebra map. Then [2, Proposition 4.14 (b), (c)] applies and $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{U} \simeq \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$, so its infinitesimal braiding is Jordanian. Also, $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathfrak{U} = \operatorname{GKdim}\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{U} = \operatorname{GKdim}\Bbbk G + 2$ by [19, Theorem 5.4].

Conversely, let H be a pointed Hopf algebra with finite GKdim such that $G(H) \simeq G$ and the infinitesimal braiding of H is $V \simeq \mathcal{V}(1, 2)$. By Lemma 2.3, there is a Jordanian YD-triple $\mathcal{D} = (g, \chi, \eta)$ such that $V = \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$. Then $\operatorname{gr} H \simeq \mathcal{B}\#\Bbbk G$ for some post-Nichols algebra over V such that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B} < \infty$. By Lemma 3.7, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(V)$. In particular H is generated by $H_0 = \Bbbk G$ and H_1 as an algebra. Moreover $H_1/H_0 \simeq V\#\Bbbk G$, so there exists a surjective Hopf algebra map $\pi : \mathcal{T} \twoheadrightarrow H$ which identifies $\Bbbk G$ and applies x_i to a $(g, 1)$-primitive element $a_i \in H_1 \setminus H_0$. As $x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2$ is a $(g^2, 1)$-primitive element, $\pi(x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2) \in \Bbbk(1 - g^2)$ so there exists $\lambda \in \Bbbk$ satisfying (4.1) such that $a_2a_1 - a_1a_2 + \frac{1}{2}a_1^2 = \lambda(1 - g^2)$. Then π factors through $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$, and this map $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) \to H$ is an isomorphism since their associated coradically graded Hopf algebras coincide.

It remains to show the last statement. Let $F : \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) \to \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}', \lambda')$ be an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. Then $F|_{\Bbbk G}$ is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras since $\Bbbk G$ is the coradical. We may assume that $F_{|\Bbbk G} = \mathrm{id}_{\Bbbk G}$ by Remark 2.4. Now $g = g'$ since $\dim \mathcal{P}_{g,1}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)) = 3$, $\dim \mathcal{P}_{h,1}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)) = 1$ for all

$h \in G(\Bbbk G)$, $h \neq g$, and the same for $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}', \lambda')$. As $F(x_i) \in \mathcal{P}_{g,1}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}', \lambda'))$,

$$F(x_i) = a_i x_1' + b_i x_2' + c_i(1-g) \qquad \text{for some } a_i, b_i, c_i \in \Bbbk, i = 1, 2.$$

As $F(hx_ih^{-1}) = hF(x_i)h^{-1}$ for all $h \in G(\Bbbk G)$ we deduce that $b_1 = c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$ if $\chi \neq \varepsilon$, $b_2 = a_1$, $\chi = \chi'$ and $\eta = \eta'$. Also,

$$0 = F\left(x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 - \lambda(1-g)\right) = (a_1^2\lambda' - \lambda)(1-g),$$

so $a_1^2\lambda' = \lambda$. The other implication is direct. □

Remark 4.3. Recall that G is nilpotent-by-finite. If G is torsion-free, then $H = \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ is a domain. Indeed, $\Bbbk G$ is a domain by [8, 12, 16], hence $\operatorname{gr} H \simeq \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$ is a domain, and so is H. To see that $\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$ is a domain, filter by giving degree 0 to x_1 and G and degree 1 to x_2; the associated graded algebra is $S(V) \otimes \Bbbk G$, clearly a domain.

4.2. **Liftings of super Jordan planes.** Let $\mathcal{D} = (g, \chi, \eta)$ be a super Jordanian YD-triple for $\Bbbk G$ and $V = \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$. Let $\lambda \in \Bbbk$ be such that

$$\lambda = 0, \qquad \text{if } \chi^2 \neq \varepsilon. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(V)$ by the relations

$$x_1^2 = \lambda(1-g^2), \qquad x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21} + 2\lambda x_2 + \lambda x_1 g^2 = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

We will prove that all liftings of super Jordan planes are the algebras $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$. The proof follows the same steps as for Jordan planes.

Proposition 4.4. *$\mathfrak{U}$ is a pointed Hopf algebra, a cocycle deformation of $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{U} \simeq \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$; $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathfrak{U} = \operatorname{GKdim}\Bbbk G + 2$. Conversely, let H be a pointed Hopf algebra with finite* GKdim *such that $G(H) \simeq G$ and the infinitesimal braiding of H is $\simeq \mathcal{V}(-1, 2)$.*

Then $H \simeq \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ for some YD-triple $\mathcal{D}$ and $\lambda \in \Bbbk$ satisfying (4.3).

Moreover $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) \simeq \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}', \lambda')$ iff there exist a Hopf algebra automorphism f of $\Bbbk G$ and $c \in \Bbbk^\times$ such that $\mathcal{D}' = f(D)$ and $\lambda = c\lambda'$.

Proof. First, we claim that there exists a $(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G)$-biGalois object $\mathcal{A}$, so $\mathfrak{U}$ is a cocycle deformation of $\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$. We proceed in two steps.

Let X_1 be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}$ generated by $t_1 = x_1^2g^{-2}$, which is a polynomial algebra in t_1. Set $A = \mathcal{T}$. The algebra map $f : X_1 \to A$ determined by $f(t_1) = t_1 - \lambda g^{-2}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-colinear. Note that $x_1^2 - \lambda$ is stable by the action of $\Bbbk G$ because of (4.3). By [2, Remark 5.6],

$$\mathcal{A}_1 := A/\langle f(X_1^+)\rangle = \mathcal{T}/\langle x_1^2 - \lambda\rangle \simeq \big(T(V)/\langle x_1^2 - \lambda\rangle\big)\#\Bbbk G.$$

We claim that $\mathcal{A}_1 \neq 0$, which reduces to prove that $\mathcal{E}_1 = T(V)/\langle x_1^2 - \lambda\rangle \neq 0$. The algebra map $\psi : T(V) \to \Bbbk$, $x_1 \mapsto c$, $x_2 \mapsto 0$, where $c^2 = \lambda$, satisfies $\psi(x_1^2) = \lambda$, $\psi(x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21} + 2\lambda x_2) = 0$. It induces an algebra map

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) = T(V)/\langle x_1^2 - \lambda, x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21} + 2\lambda x_2\rangle \to \Bbbk.$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}$ is non-trivial, which implies that $\mathcal{E}_1$ is also non-trivial. Therefore [14, Theorem 8] applies and $\mathcal{A}_1$ is a $(T(V)/\langle x_1^2\rangle)\#\Bbbk G$-Galois object.

In the second step, we consider the subalgebra X_2 of $(T(V)/\langle x_1^2\rangle)\#\Bbbk G$ generated by $t_2 = (x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21})g^{-3}$, a polynomial algebra in t_2. The algebra map $f : X_2 \to \mathcal{A}$ determined by

$$f(t_2) = (x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21} + 2\lambda x_2)g^{-3}$$

is $\mathcal{T}$-colinear. By [2, Remark 5.6], $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_1/\langle f(X_2^+)\rangle = \mathcal{E}\#\Bbbk G$, so $\mathcal{A}$ is non-trivial. Then [14, Theorem 8] applies again and $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$-Galois object. Now there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) Hopf algebra $L = L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G)$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(L, \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G)$-biGalois object. But $L \simeq \mathfrak{U}$ by a computation as in [2, Cor. 5.12], and the claim follows. Moreover $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{U} \simeq \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$ and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathfrak{U} = \operatorname{GKdim}\Bbbk G + 2$ by [19, Theorem 5.4].

Conversely, let H be a Hopf algebra such that $H_0 \simeq \Bbbk G$, $\operatorname{GKdim} H < \infty$ and the infinitesimal braiding of H is $\simeq \mathcal{V}(-1, 2)$. By Lemma 2.3, there is a super Jordanian YD-triple $\mathcal{D} = (g, \chi, \eta)$ such that $V = \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$. Then $\operatorname{gr} H \simeq \mathcal{B}\#\Bbbk G$ for some post-Nichols algebra over V with $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B} < \infty$; by Lemma 3.7, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(V)$. Arguing as in Proposition 4.2, there exists a surjective Hopf algebra map $\pi : \mathcal{T} \twoheadrightarrow H$ which induces a surjective map $\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) \to H$ for some λ as in (4.3), but π is an isomorphism since their associated coradically graded Hopf algebras coincide.

It remains to prove the last statement. Let $F : \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda) \to \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}', \lambda')$ be a Hopf algebra isomorphism. As in the proof of Proposition 4.2 we may assume that $F_{|\Bbbk G} = \mathrm{id}_{\Bbbk G}$, and we have that $g = g'$. As $F(x_i) \in \mathcal{P}_{g,1}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}', \lambda'))$,

$$F(x_i) = a_1x_1' + b_ix_2' + c_i(1 - g), \qquad a_i, b_i, c_i \in \Bbbk, i = 1, 2.$$

Notice that $F(gx_ig^{-1}) = gF(x_i)g^{-1}$, so $b_1 = c_1 = c_2 = 0$, $b_2 = a_1$ since $\chi(g) = \chi'(g) = -1$. But as $F(hx_ih^{-1}) = hF(x_i)h^{-1}$ for all $h \in G(\Bbbk G)$ we conclude that $\chi = \chi'$ and $\eta = \eta'$. Also,

$$0 = F\left(x_1^2 - \lambda(1 - g)\right) = (a_1^2\lambda' - \lambda)(1 - g),$$

so $a_1^2\lambda' = \lambda$. The other implication is direct. □

Remark 4.5. The algebra $H = \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{D}, \lambda)$ is never a domain: $ab = 0$, where

$$a = \sqrt{\lambda}\,(g - 1) + x, \qquad b = \sqrt{\lambda}\,(g + 1) + x.$$

References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch and I. Angiono. *On Nichols algebras with generic braiding*, in *Modules and Comodules*, Trends in Mathematics. Brzezinski, T.; Gómez Pardo, J.L.; Shestakov, I.; Smith, P.F. (Eds.), pp. 47–64 (2008).

[2] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono, A. García Iglesias, A. Masuoka and C. Vay, *Lifting via cocycle deformation*, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **218** (2014), 684–703.

[3] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono and I. Heckenberger. *On finite GK-dimensional Nichols algebras over abelian groups*. `arXiv:1606.02521`.

[4] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono and F. Rossi Bertone, *The divided powers algebra of a finite-dimensional Nichols algebra of diagonal type*. Math. Res. Lett., to appear.

[5] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf algebras*. New directions in Hopf algebras, MSRI series Cambridge Univ. Press; 1–68 (2002).
[6] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *A characterization of quantum groups*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **577** (2004) 81–104.
[7] M. Artin and W. Schelter, *Graded algebras of global dimension 3*. Adv. Math. **66** (1987), 171–216.
[8] K.A. Brown, *On Zero Divisors in Group Rings*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **8** (1976) 251–256.
[9] Brown K., Goodearl K., Lenagan T. and Zhang J., Mini-Workshop: Infinite Dimensional Hopf Algebras. *Oberwolfach Rep.* **11** (2014), 1111–1137.
[10] K.A. Brown and J.J. Zhang, *Prime regular Hopf algebras of GK-dimension one*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **101** (2010), 260–302.
[11] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, *Quasisymmetric and unipotent tensor categories*. Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), 857–866.
[12] D. R. Farkas and R. Snider, K_0 *and noetherian group rings*. J. Algebra **42** (1976), 192–198·
[13] K.R. Goodearl and J.J. Zhang, *Noetherian Hopf algebra domains of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension two*. J. Algebra, **324** (2010), 3131–3168.
[14] R. Günther, *Crossed products for pointed Hopf algebras*. Comm. Algebra, **27** (1999), 4389–4410.
[15] A. Masuoka, *Abelian and non-abelian second cohomologies of quantized enveloping algebras*. J. Algebra **320** (2008), 1–47.
[16] J. A. Moody, *Torsion-free solvable group rings are Ore domains*. Unpublished note (1987).
[17] H.-J. Schneider, *Normal basis and transitivity of crossed products for Hopf algebras*. J. Algebra **152** (1992) 289–312.
[18] D.-G. Wang, J.J. Zhang and G. Zhuang, *Primitive cohomology of Hopf algebras*. `arXiv:1509.01165`.
[19] G. Zhuang, *Properties of pointed and connected Hopf algebras of finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **87** (2013), 877–898.

FaMAF-CIEM (CONICET), Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Medina Allende s/n, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, República Argentina.
E-mail address: `(andrus|angiono)@mate.uncor.edu`

Philipps-Universität Marburg, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Hans-Meerwein-Strasse, D-35032 Marburg, Germany.
E-mail address: `heckenberger@mathematik.uni-marburg.de`